

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The efficacy of hydrogel dressings as a first aid measure for burn wound management in the pre-hospital setting: a systematic review of the literature

Nicholas S Goodwin¹, Anneliese Spinks² & Jason Wasiak^{3,4}

1 Department of Operations, Ambulance Victoria, Doncaster, Australia

2 Department of Ecosystem Science, CSIRO, Griffith University, Brisbane, Australia

3 Epworth Radiology Oncology, Epworth Healthcare, Richmond, Australia

4 School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Australia

Key words

Burns; Dressing; First aid; Hydrogel; Pre-hospital

Correspondence to

NS Goodwin
Ambulance Victoria
Belgrave Branch
6 Bayview Road, Belgrave Victoria 3160,
Australia
E-mail: ng1mg1@optusnet.com.au

Goodwin NS, Spinks A, Wasiak J. The efficacy of hydrogel dressings as a first aid measure for burn wound management in the pre-hospital setting: a systematic review of the literature. *Int Wound J* 2015; doi: 10.1111/iwj.12469

Abstract

The aim of this systematic review was to determine the supporting evidence for the clinical use of hydrogel dressings as a first aid measure for burn wound management in the pre-hospital setting. Two authors searched three databases (Ovid Medline, Ovid Embase and The Cochrane Library) for relevant English language articles published through September 2014. Reference lists, conference proceedings and non-indexed academic journals were manually searched. A separate search was conducted using the Internet search engine Google to source additional studies from burns advisory agencies, first aid bodies, military institutions, manufacturer and paramedic websites. Two authors independently assessed study eligibility and relevance of non-traditional data forms for inclusion. Studies were independently assessed and included if Hydrogel-based burn dressings (HBD) were examined in first aid practices in the pre-hospital setting. A total of 129 studies were considered for inclusion, of which no pre-hospital studies were identified. The review highlights that current use of HBD in the pre-hospital setting appears to be driven by sources of information that do not reflect the paramedic environment. We recommend researchers in the pre-hospital settings undertake clinical trials in this field. More so, the review supports the need for expert consensus to identify key demographic, clinical and injury outcomes for clinicians and researchers undertaking further research into the use of dressings as a first aid measure.

Introduction

Advances in burn care in the last 50 years have seen a dramatic improvement in patient survival, a reduction in complications and important improvements to cosmetic outcome. Whilst much of this care has been driven by hospital-based practices such as surgery, antibiotics and intensive care, the timely and rational addition of immediate first aid has not been quantified with evidence from pre-hospital clinical trials. Thus, its impact on important burn patient outcomes remains largely unknown.

Pre-hospital burn first aid has traditionally consisted of cooling the burn with water and covering the wound with an appropriate dressing. Experimental and animal studies have shown water-cooling to provide beneficial effects on

wound oedema (1), pain (2), reducing cell injury (3) and the inflammatory response (4) as well as having an impact on

Key Messages

- over the recent years, there has been a rapid rise in the use of alternative first aid cooling and wound-based therapies (i.e. hydrogel dressings) for patients with burn injuries in the pre-hospital setting
- we undertook a systematic review to determine if this popular, alternative first aid therapy was supported by clinical evidence supporting its efficacy in this role

- a total of 129 studies were considered for inclusion, of which no studies met the inclusion criteria
- in addition, we found various descriptions within practice guidelines of burn care advisory agencies and emergency medical services for its use as first aid measure

healing, recovery, cosmetic outcome and mortality (5,6). More recently, alternative approaches to traditional first aid using hydrogel-based burn dressings, derived from materials first developed in 1955 (7), have seeped into pre-hospital clinical practice.

The popularity of the dressing as a first aid measure was evident in a number of studies published in the emergency services setting. For instance, Allison (2002) showed that 39% of emergency medical services (EMS) in the UK used Hydrogel-based burn dressings (HBD) as a first aid cooling agent (8), whilst nearly 80% of UK fire services used HBD as both a dressing and/or cooling agent. (9) In addition, Australian studies by Cuttle *et al.* (2009) showed that 13% of paediatric patients received first aid with HBD by EMS (10), whilst Hyland *et al.* (2013) found that more than 50% of patients in a cohort subset of 455 were treated by lay first-aiders using hydrogel products (11).

The rationale for this widespread adoption of HBD into first aid practices, however, remains unclear. It may have been due to poor clinical understanding, the use of non-evidence-based treatment strategies, the absence of defined burn protocols or the emergence of a growing HBD manufacturing base keen to develop market opportunities.

Nonetheless, most EMS staff considered HBD simple to adapt to practice and appeared to solve the dual problems of improvising water cooling in transit (using bottled saline or water) whilst providing a dressing option (from a myriad of choices), features aiding the zeal of paramedics to exit the emergency scene with alacrity to definitive management in the emergency department (ED) – a long-held mantra of pre-hospital care (12).

The uses of HBD are: it is applicable to all areas of the body, provides a concomitant cooling and wound covering function, comes in many sizes and dissipates heat from the wound via convection and evaporation. Several products also incorporate additives with anaesthetic, anti-inflammatory or nutrient properties.

Given the widespread use of HBD, there was an urgent need to determine the base level of clinical evidence supporting the efficacy of HBD in the pre-hospital burn first aid role. The authors also investigated the extent and type of alternative supporting literature and approaches to practice seen in published first aid recommendations and practice guidelines of burns advisory agencies, first aid bodies, military institutions and other clinical agencies.

Materials and methods

Data sources and search strategy

A structured literature search was performed in Ovid MEDLINE, Ovid EMBASE and The Cochrane Library from 1946

through to September 2014 using a series of keywords such as ‘burns’, ‘thermal injury’, ‘hydrogel’, with ‘first aid’ and ‘pre-hospital setting’. A pre-defined search strategy was designed for maximal retrieval using medical subject headings and free text search terms. Search terms were also adapted using the thesaurus vocabulary of each database. In addition to the automated search strategies, the internet search engine Google was searched for additional studies from academic journals, conference proceedings, reference lists, sources of clinical practice, burns advisory agencies, resuscitation science and first aid bodies, military institutions, HBD manufacturer and EMS websites. Two authors conducted the literature search. All searches were limited to articles in English.

Study selection criteria and procedures

All published peer-reviewed studies including systematic reviews, randomised and non-randomised controlled trials, well-designed observational studies and superiority or non-inferiority comparative studies were considered for inclusion in this review. In particular, we looked for HBD studies when used as part of immediate first aid burn care management in the pre-hospital setting that reported on burn wound temperature, burn progression, analgesic benefits, infection rates, healing and cosmetic outcomes, recovery times and impact on mortality.

In vivo studies, animal studies, non-English-language studies, none peer-reviewed studies, studies not available in full text, unpublished manuscripts, narrative reviews or commentaries were excluded. The studies retrieved by the initial search underwent a scanned process by a single review author to exclude irrelevant studies, and two authors then screened titles and abstracts against the inclusion criteria. Full-text articles were retrieved and reviewed independently by two authors for the purpose of applying inclusion criteria. In all instances, differences of opinion were resolved by discussion amongst the authors.

Data extraction

Standardised data extraction techniques were to be used if we found studies reporting on the appropriate study designs, patient demographic and injury characteristics, along with the description of the intervention.

Results

In total, 115 studies were identified from searching the medical literature. Independent scrutiny of titles and abstracts by two authors identified 25 potentially relevant articles, all of which were excluded for the following reasons: studies undertaken in the non-pre-hospital setting (13–25), in clinical laboratories (26–29), involving animals (30–33); or published in non-English language (34–37).

Manual searching of non-traditional data forms (internet sources) identified a further 14 studies. These studies were also excluded because of the wrong setting (38,39) or patient group (40), the intervention was not assessed (8,41–44), were

Table 1 Burns Advisory Agencies – recommendations for first aid clinical practice

Agency	Preferred cooling method	Preferred dressing	Alternate dressing
1. BBA (66)	'Cool the burn area' (Water)	C	NS
2. ANZBA (67)	CRW	'Clean dressing'	NS
3. NZGG (68)	'Running tap water' or H where water not available"	C	'PVC film should not be used as a substitute for a dressing product'
4. NSWACISBIS (69)	CRW	C	NS
5. VABS (70)	CRW or H if no water available. H not for use in P. Do not leave H on for prolonged periods in burns>20% (A)	'Use a clean, dry dressing of plastic cling film wrap to cover the burn'	NS
6. WABS (71)	Room temperature water	Clean non-adherent dressing	NS
7. ARC (72)	'Cool tap water' H if water not available. 'Water is always the first choice for cooling a burn injury'	'Loose and light non-stick dressing preferably clean, dry, lint free (non-fluffy material (e.g. cling film))	NS
8. ERCEFAG (73)	Tap water	'After cooling apply wet wound dressings' (undefined H)	NS
9. AHA (74)	'Cold Tap Water'	NS	NS
10. RAHBU (75)	CRW or soaked towels for still water/ immersion or HBD if no water available	'Non-adherent dressing' (minor burn)	NS
11. CHWM (76)	'Cold tap water'	'A simple non-stick dressing is suitable'	NS
12. RCH (77)	CRW	'Clingfilm useful'	NS
13. POWH (78)	'Water'	NS	NS
14. WCHA (79)	CRW – H if no water available	C or 'non-adherent dressing'	NS

A, adult; C, Clingfilm; CRW, cool running water; DD, dry dressing; H, Hydrogel-based burn dressings; NS, not specified; P, Paediatric; WD, wet dressing (undefined).

Burns Advisory Agencies: 1. BBA, British Burns Association; 2. ANZBA, Australia New Zealand Burn Association; 3. NZGG, New Zealand Guideline Group; 4. NSWACISBIS, New South Wales Association for Clinical Innovation Statewide Burn Injury Service; 5. VABS, Victorian Adult Burns Service; 6. WABS, Western Australia Burns Service; 7. RC, Australian Resuscitation Council; 8. ERCEFAG, European Resuscitation Council European First aid Guidelines; 9. AHA, American Heart Association; 10. RAHBU, Royal Adelaide Hospital Burns Unit; 11. CHWM, The Children's Hospital West Mead; 12. RCH, Royal Children's Hospital; 13. POWH, Prince of Wales Hospital (Hong Kong); 14. WCHA, Women and Children's Hospital Adelaide.

unpublished manuscripts (40) or were in vitro experimental studies. (45–49).

Of the 14 sampled burns advisory agencies, four provided recommendations on HBD as an (alternative) cooling medium (28.6%) (Table 1 Agency Number: 3, 8, 10, 14), whilst one advised against its use in paediatric burn population (Table 1 Agency Number: 12). None of the 14 bodies advocated the use of HBD as a primary first aid dressing (i.e. as a covering post cooling). Of 23 sampled EMS agencies, ten agencies (44%) specified HBD as the preferred or alternate method for cooling and dressing a burn wound in published clinical practice guidelines (Tables 2 EMS agencies Numbers: 15–21, 34–35, 37).

Discussion

Overview of the evidence

Despite major advances in hospital burn care over the past few decades, minimising burn wound progression with targeted cooling whilst avoiding hypothermia, effectively managing pain without resorting to excessive use of opioid medication and determining the best approach to wound covering to protect the injured integument whilst impacting infection rates, remain complex and difficult areas of pre-hospital care. In the absence of a clear evidence-based strategy, there has been a gradual shift in clinician behaviour towards the use of alternative therapies such as HBD.

Following an exhaustive search of medical databases, websites and first aid agencies using burn and pre-hospital search terms, the systematic review did not identify any studies describing an equivalent or superior effect of HBD in respect of addressing these critical areas in comparison with current recommended therapies. Moreover, we did not find any evidence supporting the efficacy of HBD when applied to a burn wound for a specific time frame (e.g. 20 minutes) (50) or its value as a delayed first aid cooling therapy (e.g. 'up to 3 hours post injury') (51).

The safety, suitability and effectiveness of HBD as a method of immediate cooling in specific patient groups (i.e. paediatric population) or in patients with burns of significant size and/or depth remains an area of both concern and controversy in the absence of adequate research (43). Nonetheless, HBD remain a widely used alternative burn first aid option in the USA, Asia, Europe, the UK, Australia, Canada and many other regions where they may be used to treat all sizes and depths of burn injury.

Considering the absence of evidence, a number of sampled agencies involved in providing first aid recommendations and guidelines for practice used a variety of animal, laboratory and observational studies, unpublished materials or manufacturer-commissioned reports or testimonials, in various clinical settings other than pre-hospital, to piece together a series of clinically led, consensus-based statements almost exclusively on the water-cooling component of burn first aid.

For example, Singer *et al.* (2010) compiled an evidence summary for the American Heart Association (AHA) 'International Consensus on First aid Science with Treatment Recommendations' providing evidence to demonstrate the benefits of water cooling on healing and reducing pain but included studies in other health care settings and amongst animal populations (52). The summary described the HBD burn first aid situation as a 'knowledge gap' (1) and the AHA did not provide a recommendation on HBD use in pre-hospital setting.

In addition, the use of HBD as a first aid dressing (i.e. as a covering *after* cooling) also remains poorly researched. For example, a more recent systematic review by Wasiak *et al.* (2013) did not identify any pre-hospital HBD studies on its use as a management for superficial or partial thickness burns, whilst hospital-based studies in the review did not explore the product in the first aid scenario or were unrelated variants containing a hydrogel component not used in the pre-hospital clinical environment (53).

Recommendations and guidelines for practice

Despite the limited evidence base within the pre-hospital setting, and the emphasis placed on various settings (i.e. animal and laboratory-based studies), there is a level of consensus amongst clinicians to consider the 'best available' evidence in the early first aid management of patients with burn injury.

Although consensus-based care may have expanded clinical knowledge, clinical features of HBD function remain controversial. For example, anecdotal clinical observations from ED clinicians have suggested that wound heat may accumulate in HBD thereby potentially exacerbating burn progression. This may occur in the large, very hot, deep burn, when HBD is covered by blankets during patient warming if used only as a wound dressing during transport to the ED or where HBD is covered with an improvised overwrap, for example, a roller bandage or Clingfilm – a practice used by many paramedics to retain the easily dislodged, slippery dressing. However, this concern has not been quantified in studies to date. Coats *et al.* (2002) alludes

to the practice of overwrapping the HBD in-line with manufacturers' instructions but drew no clinical conclusions (25).

Coats *et al.* also observed that paramedics often abandoned this approach in order to achieve the best cooling efficiency via the evaporative pathway. However, this approach potentially contributes to clinical hypothermia, an area of particular concern to clinicians. Nonetheless, existing evidence in this area of concern also remains controversial (43,54–56).

In practice, avoiding hypothermic complications from HBD (and burn wound cooling generally) has been partially addressed in paramedic practice guidelines through the application of protocol caveats such as age and total burn surface area (%TBSA) restrictions. However, there does not appear to be any standardisation in these practices. For example, some Australian EMS services use HBD only where water is inaccessible (57,58) or stipulate based upon patient age and/or TBSA (59), whilst one suggests several alternatives all considered appropriate (60). Variations in Australian EMS practice are replicated in overseas jurisdictions (Table 2 Agency Numbers: 20–37).

Some EMS guidelines advocate no cooling of the burn with any means (HBD or otherwise) irrespective of the TBSA. Only two of the sampled EMS agencies stipulated a burn depth caveat for use with HBD (Table 2 Agency Numbers: 34–35).

Recommendations from international and national first aid agencies reflect the general consensus on early water-cooling of burn injury seen in those from major burns advisory agencies but fail to demonstrate a consensus on other aspects of burn first aid where HBD is used (61–63). Non-traditional data sources also show similar variations in HBD use as noted in military first aid protocols (64,65).

There remains no consensus amongst first aid providers sampled for this review as to whether HBD is suitable as the preferred burn dressing in pre-hospital as seen in previous studies (10). Amongst burns advisory agencies, polyvinyl film is the most widely recommended choice principally for its practicality, simplicity and nominal sterility. One agency suggests HBD as an alternative dressing in major burns (Table 1

Table 2 Summary of results: EMS Agencies state based Clinical Practice Guidelines

EMS Agencies by region	Percentage of sample total (n=23)	Review sample as approximately percentage of published state based EMS practice guidelines	Percentage EMS using HBD as preferred cooling method	Percentage EMS using HBD as preferred dressing method	Percentage EMS using HBD as alternate dressing method
Australia (Nos: 15–19)	21.74 (n=5)	71 (n=5/7)	0	40 (n=2/5)	80 (n=4/5)
UK and Ireland (Nos: 20–21)	8.7 (n=2)	100, 33 [n=1/1 (UK)*, n=1/3]	0	50 (n=1/2)	100 (n=2/2)
Canada (Nos: 22–26)	21.74 (n=5)	38.4 (n=5/13)	0	0	0
USA (Nos: 27–37)	47.8 (n=11)	44 (n=11/25)†	9.1 (n=1/11)	36.36 (n=4/11)	0

EMS Agencies: 15. QAS: Queensland Ambulance Service (57). 16. ACTAS: Australian Capital Territory Ambulance Service (80). 17. SJWA: St John Western Australia Ambulance Service (58). 18. NSWAS: New South Wales Ambulance Service (81). 19. SAAS: South Australia Ambulance Service (82). 20. PHECC: Pre-Hospital Emergency Care Council of Ireland (83). 21. UKAS: United Kingdom Ambulance Services (76). 22. Alberta Health Services EMS (84). 23. Nova Scotia EHS (85). 24. Ontario EMS (86). 25. Manitoba EMS (87). 26. Saskatchewan EMS (88). 27. Montana EMS Emergency Care (89). 28. Alabama EMS (90). 29. North Carolina EMS (91). 30. Massachusetts EMS (92). 31. New York State EMS (93). 32. Pennsylvania EMS (94). 33. Connecticut EMS (95). 34. Alaska EMS (96). 35. Florida EMS (97). 36. Nebraska EMS (98). 37. Maine EMS (99).

*JRCALC National Clinical Practice Guidelines for UK Ambulance Services (14 NHS ambulance Trusts) (100).

†Of 50 US states, only 50% have state based EMS Clinical Practice Guidelines (101).

Agency Number: 10). Only one of three sampled resuscitation councils specifies a preferred pre-hospital burn dressing post wound cooling (Table 1 Agency Number: 8 – ‘wet wound dressings’ – unspecified).

Conclusions

This systematic review failed to identify studies of any design examining the use of HBD as first aid measure in the pre-hospital setting. Studies were identified from other clinical settings (i.e. hospital, laboratory and animal), but they did not meet our inclusion criteria. More so, the search of non-traditional data sources also showed no additional studies meeting inclusion criteria. Study data to establish the bona fides of HBD as an effective burn First aid intervention thus appear lacking quantitatively and qualitatively making definitive conclusions on the efficacy of the technology in this role unclear.

Acknowledgements

The authors declare no financial, commercial, academic or affiliation conflicts of interest.

References

- Demling RH, Mazess RB, Wolberg W. The effect of immediate and delayed cold immersion on burn edema formation and resorption. *J Trauma* 1979;**19**:56–60.
- Shulman AG. Ice water as primary treatment of burns. Simple method of emergency treatment of burns to alleviate pain, reduce sequelae, and hasten healing. *JAMA* 1960;**27**:1916–9.
- Ofeigsson OJ. Observations and experiments on the immediate cold-water treatment for burns and scalds. *Br J Plast Surg* 1959;**12**:104–19.
- Boykin JV Jr, Crute SL. Mechanisms of burn shock protection after severe scald injury by cold-water treatment. *J Trauma* 1982;**22**:859–66.
- Yuan J, Wu C, Holland AJ, Harvey JG, Martin HC, La Hei ER, Arbuckle S, Godfrey TC. Assessment of cooling on an acute scald burn injury in a porcine model. *J Burn Care Res* 2007;**28**:514–20.
- Nguyen NL, Gun RT, Sparnon AL, Ryan P. The importance of immediate cooling—a case series of childhood burns in Vietnam. *Burns* 2002;**28**:173–6.
- Kopeček J. Hydrogels: from soft contact Lenses and Implants to self-assembled nanomaterials. *J Polym Sci A Polym Chem* 2009;**47**:5929–46.
- Allison K. The UK pre-hospital management of burn patients: current practice and the need for a standard approach. *Burns* 2002;**28**:135–42.
- Walker A, Baumber R, Robson B. Pre-hospital management of burns by the UK fire service. *Emerg Med J* 2005;**22**:205–8.
- Cuttle L, Kravchuk O, Wallis B, Kimble RM. An audit of first aid treatment of paediatric burn patients and their clinical outcome. *J Burn Care Res* 2009;**30**:1028–34.
- Hyland EJ, Harvey JG, Holland AJ. Burn first aid – the weird and wonderful. Retrospective analysis of the first aid received by 4368 children who presented to the Burns Unit at The Children’s Hospital at Westmead between January 2008 and December 2012. *ANZBA Burns Conference*, 2013 Oct 15–18: Fremantle, Western Australia.
- Smith RM, Conn AK. Prehospital care – scoop and run or stay and play? *Injury* 2009;**40**:S23–6.
- Burd A. Evaluating the use of hydrogel sheet dressings in comprehensive burn wound care. *Ostomy Wound Manage* 2007;**53**:52–62.
- Guilbaud J. European comparative clinical study of Inerpan: a new wound dressing in treatment of partial skin thickness burns. *Burns* 1992;**18**:419–22.
- Guilbaud J, Honde C. Multicentre comparative clinical study of a new wound dressing: PA286 (Inerpan). *Euro J Plast Surg* 1993;**16**:73–6.
- Grippaudo FR, Carini L, Baldini R. Procucase versus 1% silver sulphadiazine in the treatment of minor burns. *Burns* 2010;**36**:871–5.
- Patel HS, Shah DK. A comparative study of hydrogel dressing versus conventional dressing in burns. *Internet J Surg* 2006;**13**.
- Vogt PM, Hauser J, Robbach O, Bosse B, Fleischer W, Steinay HU, Reimer K. Polyvinyl pyrrolidone-iodine liposome hydrogel improves epithelialization by combining moisture and antiseptics. A new concept in wound therapy. *Wound Repair Regen* 2001;**9**:116–22.
- Zhang L, Chen J, Han C. A multicenter clinical trial of recombinant human GM-CSF hydrogel for the treatment of deep second-degree burns. *Wound Repair Regen* 2009;**7**:685–9.
- Edwards J. Hydrogels and their potential uses in burn wound management. *Br J Nurs* 2010;**19**:S12, S14–6.
- Gong Z, Yao J, Ji F. Effect of ionic silver dressing combined with hydrogel on degree II burn wound healing. *J Clin Rehab Tiss Eng Res* 2009;**13**:8373–6.
- Warren RJ, Snelling CF. Clinical evaluation of the Hydron burns dressing. *Plastic Reconstr Surg* 1980;**66**:361–8.
- Nangia A, Hung CT. Laboratory evaluation of a new hydrogel-type skin substitute. *Burns* 1990;**16**:368–72.
- Osti E. Cutaneous burns treated with hydrogel (Burnshield) and a semipermeable adhesive film. *Arch Surg* 2006;**141**:39–42.
- Coats TJ, Edwards C, Newton R, Staun E. The effect of gel burns dressings on skin temperature. *Emerg Med J* 2002;**9**:224–5.
- Bullock AJ, Pickavance P, Haddow DB, Rimmer S, MacNeil S. Development of a calcium-chelating hydrogel for treatment of superficial burns and scalds. *Regen Med* 2010;**5**:55–64.
- Chen J, Han CM, Su GL, Tang ZJ, Su SJ, Lin XW. Randomized controlled trial of the absorbency of four dressings and their effects on the evaporation of burn wounds. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 2007;**120**:1788–91.
- Martineau L, Shek PN. Evaluation of a bi-layer wound dressing for burn care I. Cooling and wound healing properties. *Burns* 2006;**32**:70–6.
- Ribeiro MP, Espiga A, Silva D, Baptista P, Henriques J, Ferreira C, Silva JC, Borges JP, Pires E, Chaves P, Correia IJ. Development of a new chitosan hydrogel for wound dressing. *Wound Repair Regen* 2009;**17**:817–24.
- Homann HH, Rosbach O, Moll W, Vogt P, Germann G, Hopp M, Langer-Brauburger B, Reimer K, Steinay HU. A liposome hydrogel with polyvinyl-iodine in the local treatment of partial-thickness burn wounds. *Ann Plast Surg* 2007;**59**:423–7.
- Dressler DP, Barbee WK, Sprenger R. The effect of Hydron burn wound dressing on burned rat and rabbit ear wound healing. *J Trauma* 1980;**20**:1024–8.
- Jandera V, Hudson DA, de Wet PM, Innes PM, Rode H. Cooling the burn wound: evaluation of different modalities. *Burns* 2000;**26**:265–70.
- Pei J, Zhao L, Jiang XJ. Curative effect of recombinant human granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor hydro-gel for topical application on animal model of burn. *Chin J Biolog* 2008;**21**:414–6.
- Hauser J, Rosbach O, Langer S, Vogt P, Germann G, Steinay HU, Reimer K, Hopp M, Langer-Brauburger B, Bosse B, Homann HH. Local therapy of grade IIa burns: efficacy and tolerability of a new hydrosome wound gel for the local treatment of grade IIa burns as compared with silver sulfadiazine ointment. *Unfallchirurg* 2007;**110**:988–94.
- Kassin VI, Gerasimova LI, Nikolaev AV, Shapiro AM, Slutskii LI, Mukhamedzhanova RF, Sveshnikov AI, Dombrovskaya LE, Kuz’mina IV. Use of Regenkur hydrogel in the local treatment of burns. *Klin Khir* 1991;**3**:5–7.
- Misterka S. Clinical evaluation of hydrogel dressing materials after an 8-year period of their application. *Polim Med* 1991;**21**:23–30.

37. Kiyozumi T, Kanatani Y, Ishihara M, Saitoh D, Shimizu J, Yura H, Suzuki S, Okada Y, Kikuchi M. The effect of chitosan hydrogel containing DMEM/F12 medium on full-thickness skin defects after deep dermal burn. *Burns* 2007;**33**:642–8.
38. Castner T. Monitoring of temperature while cooling burn injuries. *Rettungsdienst* 2000;**1**:28–31.
39. Castner TC, Harz J, Schlor J. Cooling: out of the bag: WaterJel™ burn dressings AGAN-Product Test. *Rettungsdienst* 2002;**5**:32–5.
40. Palmhert. 1998. Non-randomised controlled observational trial. 23 patients. Unpublished abstract. Cited by New Zealand Guideline Group in: NZGG: Evidence based Guidelines 2007. Management of burns and scalds in primary care.
41. Ashworth HL, Cubison TC, Gilbert PM, Sim KM. Treatment before transfer: the patient with burns. *Emerg Med J* 2009;**18**:349–51.
42. Hudspith J, Rayatt S. First aid and treatment of minor burns. *BMJ* 2004;**328**:1487–9.
43. Lönnecker S, Schroder V. Hypothermia in patients with burn injuries: Influence of pre-hospital treatment. *Chirurg* 2001;**72**:164–7.
44. McCormack RA, La Hei E, Martin HC. First aid management of minor burns in children: a prospective study of children presenting to the Children's Hospital at Westmead, Sydney. *Med J Aust* 2003;**178**:31–3.
45. Dolocsek R, Torsova V. WaterJel™ in the treatment of burns: a bacteriological Study. *J Burn Care Rehab* 1990;**11**:135–41.
46. Carson CF, Hammer KA, Riley TV. *Melaleuca alternifolia* (Tea Tree) oil: a review of antimicrobial and other medicinal properties. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2006;**19**:50–62.
47. Faoagali J, George N, Leditschke JF. Does tea tree oil have a place in the topical treatment of burns? *Burns* 1997;**23**:349–51.
48. Torsova V, Chmelarova E. Evaluation of the effects of a new WaterJel™ system on specific bacterial and yeast strains in laboratory conditions. *Burns* 1995;**21**:47–56.
49. Wang Y, Kim S, Lee A, Maitz P, Li Z, 2011. Investigation of tea tree oil hydrogel (BurnAid®) cytotoxic effect on skin cells and anti-inflammatory activity, Burns Research Group, ANZAC Research Institute, Concord Repatriation General Hospital, Sydney, NSW 2139, Australia.
50. Australia and New Zealand Burn Association. 2015. Allied Health Care Professionals, Health Care Guidelines, Edition 2 [Internet]. URL <http://anzba.org.au/care/firstaid/> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
51. Victorian Adult Burns Service, Alfred Hospital, Royal Childrens Hospital. 2011. Burns Management Guidelines. First aid [Internet]. URL <http://www.vicburns.org.au/Firstaid.html> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
52. Markenson D, Ferguson JD, Chameides L, Cassan P, Chung K-L, Epstein JL, Gonzales L, Hazinski MF, Herrington RA, Pellegrino JL, Ratcliff N, Singer AJ; on behalf of the First Aid Chapter Collaborators. Part 13: first aid: 2010 American Heart Association and American Red Cross International Consensus on First Aid Science. *Circulation* 2010;**122**(2 Suppl):S582–S605.
53. Wasiak J, Cleland H, Campbell F, Spinks A. Dressings for superficial and partial thickness burns. *Cochrane Database of Syst Rev* 2013; Art. No.: CD002106. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002106.pub4.
54. Singer AJ, Taira BR, Thode HC Jr, McCormack JE, Shapiro M, Aydin A, Lee C. The association between hypothermia, prehospital cooling, and mortality in burn victims. *Acad Emerg Med* 2010;**17**:456–9.
55. Weaver MD, Rittenberger JC, Patterson PD, McEntire SJ, Corcos AC, Ziembicki JA, Hostler D. Risk factors for hypothermia in EMS-treated burn patients. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2014;**18**:335–41.
56. Weaver MD, Rittenberger JC, Patterson PD, McEntire SJ, Corcos AC, Ziembicki JA, Hostler D. Risk factors for hypothermia EMS-treated burn patients. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2014;**18**:335–41.
57. Ambulance Service of NSW. 2009. Clinical practice guidelines. T12: burns [Internet] [Revised January 2011]. Unpublished document. URL <http://www.ambulance.nsw.gov.au/Your-Right-to-Information/Our-Policy-Documents.html> [accessed on 13 April 2015].
58. Ambulance Victoria. Clinical practice guidelines CPG A805: adult burns. 2009. [Internet] [Revised March 2012]. URL <http://www.ambulance.vic.gov.au/Paramedics/Qualified-Paramedic-Training/Clinical-Practice-Guidelines.html> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
59. Queensland Ambulance Service. 2009. QAS clinical practice guidelines. Trauma emergencies. CPG 2.10.04: Burns. Version 1 [Internet] [Updated April, 2011]. URL <http://www.ambulance.qld.gov.au/CPGtable.html> [accessed on 1 May 2015].
60. Saint John Ambulance Australia (Western Australia) Inc. 2008. Clinical Practice Guidelines for Ambulance Care in Western Australia Guideline Version 11.5.15: Burns. [Internet] [Updated 2011]. URL <http://www.google.com.au/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&ved=0CB4QFjAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.fair-go.com%2Fdocs%2FClinical%2520Practice%2520Guidelines%2520V11%25202022.07.11.pdf&ei=ve9CVfP4O12rogSAXIHQBQ&usg=AFQjCNFHQQVFRfbF4jOXbaHGxZMGtwz-UA&bvm=bv.92189499,d.cGU> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
61. International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies. International first aid and resuscitation guidelines 2011 [Internet]. URL <http://www.ifrc.org/what-we-do/health/first-aid-saves-lives/> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
62. St John Ambulance Australia. 2013. First aid Facts. English. Burns and Scalds [Internet]. URL http://stjohn.org.au/assets/uploads/fact%20sheets/english/FS_burns.pdf [accessed on 30 April 2015].
63. British Red Cross. 2015. Home. What we do. First aid. Everyday First aid. Burns [Internet]. URL <http://www.redcross.org.uk/What-we-do/First-aid/Everyday-First-aid/Burns> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
64. Department of the Army Academy of Health Sciences. US Government Combat Trauma Treatment and Management Handbook. 91W10Advanced Individual Training Course. [Internet]. URL http://www.google.com.au/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&ved=0CB4QFjAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.medtrng.com%2Fhandbook1%2FHandbook_Combat%2520Trauma%2520Treatment%2520and%2520Management.pdf&ei=M_RCVfObHcb7oQSmu4CwBQ&usg=AFQjCNGu6hTfXQOGstHXpZnWDypXNADWCw&bvm=bv.92189499,d.cGU [accessed on 30 April 2015].
65. United States Marine Corp Field Medical Training Battalion Manage Burn Casualties. 2008. FMST Student Manual [Internet]. URL http://www.operationalmedicine.org/TextbookFiles/FMST_20008/FMST_1402.htm [accessed on 1 May 2015].
66. British Burn Association. 2011. Pre-hospital approach to burns patient management. [Internet]. URL <http://www.nettas.com.tr/burnshield/Pre-hospital%20Approach%20to%20Burns%20Patient%20Management%20Cooling%20British%20Burn%20Association.pdf> [accessed on 1 May 2015].
67. Australia and New Zealand Burn Association. 2015. Allied health care professionals, health care guidelines Edition 2 [Internet] URL <http://anzba.org.au/care/firstaid/> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
68. New Zealand Guideline Group. 2007. NZGG: Evidence based Guidelines. Management of burns and scalds in primary care [Internet]. URL <http://www.health.govt.nz/publication/management-burns-and-scalds-primary-care-summary> [accessed on 1 May 2015].
69. NSW Government Department of Health. NSWACI State-wide Burn Injury Service Clinical Practice Guidelines: Burn Patient Management [Internet] [Updated May, 2014]. URL <http://www.aci.health.nsw.gov.au/networks/burn-injury/resources> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
70. Victorian Adult Burns Service, Alfred Hospital, Royal Childrens Hospital. 2011. Burns Management Guidelines. First aid [Internet]. URL <http://www.vicburns.org.au/Firstaid.html> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
71. Government of Western Australia. Department of Health. 2011. Guidelines for the initial Management of Adult (and Paediatric) Burns [Internet]. URL http://www.healthnetworks.health.wa.gov.au/docs/burns_education_booklet.pdf [accessed on 30 April 2015].
72. Australian Resuscitation Council. 2008. ARC Guidelines. Burns 9.1.3. [Internet]. URL <http://resus.org.au/guidelines/> [accessed on 30 April 2015].

73. Van de Velde S, Broos P, Van Bouwelen M, De Win R, Sermon A, Verduyck J, Van Tichelen A, Lauwaert D, Vantroyen B, Tobback C, Van den Steene P, Villere S, Mieres CU, Göbl G, Schunder S, Monsieurs K, Bierens J, Cassan P, Davoli E, Sabbe M, Lo G, De Vries M, Aertgeerts B; European First Aid Manual Project, Belgian Red Cross-Flanders. European first aid guidelines. *Resuscitation* 2007;**72**:240–51.
74. Markenson D, Ferguson JD, Chameides L, Cassan P, Chung K-L, Epstein J, Gonzales L, Herrington RA, Pellegrino JL, Ratcliff N, Singer A. Part 17: first aid: 2010 American Heart Association and American Red Cross Guidelines for first aid. *Circulation* 2010;**122**(Suppl 3):S934–46.
75. Royal Adelaide Hospital Burns Service. 2009. Practice guidelines/ Burns. First aid/Appendix A&K [Internet]. URL <http://www.rah.sa.gov.au/burns/downloads/2009-Practice-guidelines.pdf> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
76. Sydney Childrens Hospital Network. Burns Management. Childrens Hospital Westmead [Internet] [Updated June 1, 2013]. URL <http://www.schn.health.nsw.gov.au/search/site?query=burns+and+scalds> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
77. Royal Children's Hospital. 2012. Clinical Practice Guidelines. Burns [Internet]. URL http://www.rch.org.au/clinicalguide/cpg.cfm?doc_id=5158#first_aid [accessed on 30 April 2015].
78. Prince of Wales Hospital Hong Kong Acute Burns Unit Protocol. *The Hong Kong Medical Diary* [Internet], 2007 December, 12(12): 21–5. URL <http://www.fmshk.org/database/articles/03mb6.pdf> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
79. Womens and Childrens Hospital Adelaide Burns Unit. 2011. Paediatric Burns Service Guidelines [Internet] [Updated Sep, 2014]. URL <http://www.wch.sa.gov.au/services/az/divisions/psurg/burns/index.html> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
80. ACT State Government. 2010. ACT Ambulance Service. Clinical Management Manual – Pocket Edition. Clinical Management Guideline CMG 21 [Internet]. URL <http://esa.act.gov.au/actas/about-us/clinical-management-guidelines/> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
81. Ambulance Service of NSW. 2009. Clinical Practice Guidelines. Protocol T12: Burns. [Revised January 2011]. Unpublished Document. URL <http://www.ambulance.nsw.gov.au/Your-Right-to-Information/Our-Policy-Documents.html> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
82. South Australian Ambulance Service Clinical Practice Protocols Burns. 2010. CPP-CS-008 30-06-10. Unpublished Document. URL <http://www.saambulance.com.au/ContactUs.aspx> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
83. Pre-hospital Emergency Care Council. Ireland. Clinical Practice Guidelines for Pre-Hospital emergency Care. Section 6: Trauma/Burns 2012 [Internet] [Updated October 2014]. URL http://www.phecit.ie/PHECC/Clinical_resources/Clinical_practice_guidelines/2014_edition_CPGs/PHECC/Exams_and_candidates/Clinical_Practice_Guidelines/Clinical_Practice_Guidelines.aspx?Hkey=23dd59a6-6b5b-4d0b-9874-6e3467c026e0 [accessed on 30 April 2015].
84. Government of Alberta. 2014. Alberta Health Services. Medical Control Protocols/Adult Protocols/Trauma/Environmental [Internet]. URL <https://www.ahsems.com/public/AHS/protocols/index.html#set/12> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
85. Nova Scotia. 2013. Department of Health and Wellness EHS Ground Ambulance Clinical Program Documents. EHS6340.02 Burns [Internet] [Updated 2014]. URL <http://novascotia.ca/dhw/ehs/clinical-program-documents.asp> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
86. Government of Ontario. Ministry of Health and Long Term care. Basic Life Support Patient Care Standards. Environmental Related Disorders. Burns 4-4.2007 [Internet] [Updated January 20, 2011]. URL http://www.health.gov.on.ca/english/public/program/ehs/edu/pdf/bls_patient.pdf [accessed on 30 April 2015].
87. Government of Manitoba. 2006. Department of Health Healthy Living and Seniors - Emergency Medical Services. EMS Emergency Treatment Guidelines and Protocols; Trauma: T10 Burns [Internet]. URL <https://www.gov.mb.ca/health/ems/guidelines/etg.html> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
88. Saskatchewan College of Paramedics Member resources. 2014. Paramedic Clinical Practice Protocols. Manual V 2.0. Burn Trauma 144 [Internet]. URL <http://www.collegeofparamedics.sk.ca/resources/protocolmanual.php> [accessed on 1 May 2015].
89. Montana Department of Labor and Industry. Montana Board of Medical Examiners. Pre-Hospital Treatment Protocols 2012 [Internet] [Updated 03/2015, version 1.5]. URL http://bsd.dli.mt.gov/license/bsd_boards/med_board/emt.asp [accessed on 30 April 2015].
90. Alabama Department of Public Health, Alabama EMS. 2007. Patient Care protocols [Internet] [Updated October, 2013]. URL <http://www.adph.org/ems/Default.asp?id=811> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
91. North Carolina. Division of Health Service Regulation. Office of Emergency Medical Services. North Carolina College of Emergency Physicians. Standards for Medical Oversight and Data Collection. EMS Treatment Protocols 2009 [Internet]. URL <http://www.ncems.org/nccpstandards.html> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
92. Massachusetts. Office of Emergency Medical Services. 2012. Pre-hospital Statewide Treatment Protocols Version 12.03 [Internet] [Updated September 6, 2014]. URL <http://www.mass.gov/eohhs/provider/guidelines-resources/clinical-treatment/public-health-oems-treatment-protocols.html> [accessed 30 April 2015].
93. New York State. Department of Health New York. 2008. State EMT/AEMT BLS Protocols T4 Burns [Internet]. URL <https://www.health.ny.gov/professionals/ems/cfrprotocols.htm> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
94. Pennsylvania. Department of Health. 2009. EMS State-wide BLS Protocols 671. Burns [Internet] 2009 [Updated July 1, 2013]. URL http://www.portal.health.state.pa.us/portal/server.pt/community/emergency_medical_services/14138/ems_statewide_protocols/625966 [accessed on 30 April 2015].
95. Connecticut. Department of Public Health. 2011. Connecticut State BLS Guidelines [Internet]. URL <http://www.ctemscouncils.org/downloads/bls%20procedures.pdf> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
96. State of Alaska, Alaska Department of Health and Social Services, Division of Public Health, Emergency Medical Services. Emergency Medical Services Unit. 2007. Downloads Trauma Guidelines. EMT/1-07 [Internet]. URL <http://dhss.alaska.gov/dph/Emergency/Pages/ems/downloads/treatment.aspx> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
97. Greater Broward EMS Medical Directors Association. 2014. Florida Regional Common EMS Protocols [Internet]. Greater Broward EMS Medical Directors Association [Updated March 1, 2015]. URL <http://www.gbemda.org/florida-regional-common-ems-protocolspdf> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
98. Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Medical Services. 2012. Model Protocols [Internet]. URL <http://www.google.com.au/url?sa=t&rc=t=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&ved=0CB4QFjAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fdhhs.ne.gov%2Fpublichealth%2FLicensure%2FDocuments%2FEMSmodelProtocols2012.pdf&ei=bkRDVZPOOcnooASwoID4Aw&usq=AFQjCNHPEE3i2n1yCRzmOriWO-eRI81y9Q&bvm=bv.92189499,d.cGU> [accessed on 30 April 2015].
99. Maine Government. Department of Public Safety Emergency Medical Services. 2008. Laws Rules and Protocols. EMS Treatment Protocols [Internet]. URL <http://www.maine.gov/dps/ems/legal.html> [accessed 30 April 2015].
100. Joint Royal College Ambulance Liaison Committee. 2006. UK Ambulance Services Clinical Practice Guidelines [Internet] [Updated 2013 – update not available]. URL <http://www.jrcalc.org.uk/guidelines/> (2006 edition) [accessed on 23 May 2015].
101. JEMS. 2015. “NASEMSO Survey Provides Snapshot of EMS Industry” Reproduced from original article appearing in: EMS Insider November, 2011 [Internet] [See comments]. URL <http://www.jems.com/articles/2011/11/nasemso-survey-provides-snapshot-ems-ind.html> [accessed on 1 May 2015].